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ABSTRACT

Background Reading epilepsy is a rare form of epilepsy, classified among idiopathic, age- and localisation-related (partial) epilepsies as a reflex epilepsy syndrome. Seizures usually consist of myoclonic jerks restricted to the jaw. However, distinct ictal features including visual symptoms and paroxysmal a- or dyslexia are described in some patients. The anatomical substrate of ictogenesis in reading epilepsy remains poorly understood.

Methods The authors report here the case of a primary reading epilepsy for which ictal semiology was characterised by visual symptoms and dyslexia, investigated by MRI, interictal high-resolution EEG and PET, ictal video-EEG and SPECT. Brain MRI was normal. Interictal high-resolution EEG was performed with 64 scalp channels, a realistic head model and different algorithms to solve the inverse problem.

Results Interictal source localisations highlighted the left occipito-temporal junction. Interictal PET demonstrated bilateral occipito-temporal hypometabolism with left-sided predominance. Ictal EEG showed a rhythmic discharge in left tempo-parieto-occipital junction channels, with left occipito-temporal predominance. MRI fusion of the coregistered subtraction between ictal and interictal SPECT individualised relative hyperperfusion affecting (a) the left occipito-parietal junction area, (b) the left lateral middle and inferior temporal gyri and (c) the left inferior frontal area.

Conclusion Besides reading-induced myoclonic jerks of the jaw, a second variant of reading epilepsy exists with clearly partial seizures manifested by visual symptoms and a- or dyslexia. These seizures originate from the occipito-temporal region of the dominant hemisphere, corresponding to the posterior part of the neural network that underlies the function of reading.

INTRODUCTION

Reading epilepsy is a rare syndrome, a distinct form of reflex epilepsy in which all, or almost all, seizures are precipitated by the act of reading.1 Reading epilepsy was first described by Bickford et al.,2 who initially distinguished between ‘primary’ and ‘secondary’ reading epilepsy. In ‘primary’ reading epilepsy, seizures occurred only in relation to reading, whereas patients with ‘secondary’ reading epilepsy had seizures under other conditions, too. However, this original dichotomous classification remained controversial. Reflex seizures or cortical myoclonus triggered by language activities have been described in patients with distinct cerebral pathologies.3–5 Some authors thus indicated that a revision of this classification is needed, based on an accurate and detailed database.6

Primary reading epilepsy is classified in the 1989 ILAE classification among idiopathic, age- and localisation-related (partial) epilepsies as a reflex epilepsy syndrome. In this syndrome, seizures characteristically consist of reading-induced myoclonic jerks of the jaw or throat which may progress to generalised seizure activity.1 2 8 However, many other types of ictal symptoms have been described: abrupt loss of consciousness,9 absences,10 paroxysmal a- or dyslexia11 11 and visual symptoms.6 8 12–17 Visual system abnormalities appear to be important in the generation of seizures in at least some reading epilepsy patients.6

Reading is a complex cognitive process including visual analysis, memory functions, grapheme-phoneme conversion, more or less followed by articulation and acoustic monitoring.18 Variable cortical hyperexcitability may explain the variable distribution of epileptic discharges in reading epilepsy. Some cases of reading epilepsy are related to posterior epilepsies with particular implication of the left temporo-parieto-occipital junction.1 6 8 12 13 16 17 19

The anatomical basis of reading epilepsy remains poorly understood.10 20 We report here a case of reading epilepsy for which ictal semiology and non-invasive studies (high-resolution EEG, PET, ictal video-EEG recording, ictal SPECT) strongly argued for a left occipito-temporal origin of the pathological process.

CLINICAL HISTORY

A 31-year-old right-handed woman presented partial seizures that always occurred during silent reading. Seizures began at the age of 28 years. During the 3 years prior to assessment, she had experienced a total of eight seizures. Her medical history was marked by a single, apparently uncomplicated febrile seizure at the age of 4. She had a family history of epilepsy with one grandfather having presented tonic–clonic seizures and the other grandfather having had seizures evocative of a temporal origin. Physical and neurological examinations were normal.

Seizures began during silent reading with the feeling of no longer being able to understand what she was reading (a- or dyslexia). After looking up from the page, she then continued to see letters and words despite actual disappearance of that image from either visual field (palinopsia). She had a feeling of strangeness. She could then have right hemi-body jerks and secondary generalisation. Seizures usually occurred soon after the onset of reading (less than 10 min). All seizures occurred during silent reading. She had not abandoned...
reading altogether but had developed a distinct style of reading
to try to avoid the onset of seizures, in that she read only for
short periods and tended to scan the page diagonally. She was
initially treated by lamotrigine (200 mg/day) but seizures
persisted with secondary generalisation. Replacement of lamo-
trigine with carbamazepine (1000 mg/day) led to a considerable
improvement.

NON-INVASIVE INVESTIGATIONS

Neuropsychological examination was normal without discrep-
ancies between verbal and visuo-spatial performances. Intercital
EEG (24 scalp-EEG channels: 10/20 system with four additional
baso-temporal channels) showed isolated left temporal posterior
spikes with an inversion of polarity on left temporal posterior
channel T5. With a monopolar montage and an average refer-
ce, spikes were of maximal amplitude on channels T5, TP9,
T3 and FT9. There was no photoparoxysmal response.

High-resolution EEG (HR-EEG) was performed with 64 scalp-
channels, a high sampling rate (1000 Hz), a realistic head model
and different source localisation algorithms such as equivalent current dipoles and multiple signal classification, as
described by Mosher et al\(^{22}\). Detailed methodology has been
previously described.\(^{23}\) Informed consent was obtained from the
patient, and the HR-EEG study was approved by the institu-
tional review board of the French Institute of Heath
(IRB0000388, FWA00005831). Some isolated interictal spikes
were recorded. These were of maximal amplitude on left
tempo-parieto-occipital junction channels PO3, PO7, P9, T5
and O1. Interictal source localisations, either with an equivalent current dipole or with MUSIC, indicated the left occipito-temporal
junction.

Brain MRI was normal. Interictal \(^{18}\)F fluorodeoxyglucose-
PET showed bilateral occipito-temporal hypometabolism with
left-sided predominance (figure 1).

During video-EEG monitoring, a seizure was recorded 5 min
after silent reading of a women’s magazine. Clinically, the
patient experienced habitual subjective signs (a- or dyslexia,
palinopsia). She stopped reading at the beginning of the seizure
and signalled to the nurses. She was able to explain that
a seizure had started and presented a vocalisation, eyes and head
deviation to the right and then right-hemi-body jerks followed
by secondary generalisation. Ictal EEG showed a rhythmic

\[\text{Figure 1} \quad \text{High-resolution EEG, performed with 64 scalp-channels, a high sampling rate (1000 Hz), a realistic head model and different source}
\text{localization algorithms such as equivalent current dipoles and multiple signal classification. (A) Some isolated interictal spikes were recorded. Their}
\text{amplitude was maximal on channels PO3, PO7, P9, T5 and O1. (B) Amplitude cartography (using Focus software) during the single interictal spike}
\text{marked by the vertical line in panel A. (C) 64 channels superimposed, temporal window of analysis lying between the vertical lines. (D) Realistic head}
\text{model performed with the patient’s 3D MRI. Electrode positions are represented in the same spatial reference. (E) Interictal source localisations, either}
\text{with an equivalent current dipole (moving dipole, goodness of fit 0.87) or with Multiple Signal Classification, indicating the left occipito-temporal}
\text{junction.}\]
discharge in left temporo-parieto-occipital junction channels, with a left occipito-temporal predominance (figure 3).

Injection of 99mTc-ECD for ictal SPECT was performed 30 s after the beginning of the seizure. Subtraction between ictal and interictal SPECT was performed. The MRI fusion of the coregistered SPECT subtraction individualised relative hyperperfusion affecting several regions including (a) the left occipito-parietal junction area, (b) the left lateral temporal region (including middle and inferior temporal gyri) and (c) the left inferior frontal area (figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

The clinical picture and investigations were suggestive of involvement of dominant temporo-occipital region. Reading epilepsy is an uncommon type of reflex epilepsy.\(^\text{24}\) In the literature, a few reading epilepsy cases were characterised by visual symptoms, paroxysmal a- or dyslexia or a combination of both, suggestive of left posterior cortex involvement (one case in Chavany et al.,\(^\text{12}\) one case in Bingel,\(^\text{13}\) one case in de Weerdt and Van Rijn,\(^\text{16}\) one case in Gastaut and Tassinari,\(^\text{19}\) two cases in Koutroumanidis et al.,\(^\text{1}\) 19/111 cases in the metanalysis by Wolf and Inoué\(^\text{17}\)). Visual symptoms could consist of elementary visual hallucinations, ‘sensation of spots before the eyes, blurred vision,’ as described in 1957 for one case, experiencing seizures only after prolonged reading or playing cards.\(^\text{15}\) Visual illusions, with an impression of movement and palinopsia (persistent perception despite disappearance of the stimulus) were also described and appeared to be linked to dyslexia.\(^\text{12, 16, 19}\) Thus, in 1956, the case of a 50-year-old patient was described with ictal semiology characterised by the impression that letters had changed place, animated by vertical and horizontal movements, rendering words incomprehensible.\(^\text{12}\) All seizures occurred during reading. These subjective signs were followed by right hemi-body jerks and generalisation.\(^\text{12}\) In another publication, very similar ictal semiology was described.\(^\text{19}\) Indeed, a later case from 1966 was thus described: ‘at the onset, the patient saw the last word in a foggy way, then this word becomes fixed before him even though he keeps his eyes closed; then the letters change place and the word becomes distorted.’\(^\text{19}\) In another publication, ictal visual signs were followed by jaw jerks, with this description of a single case published in 1975: ‘after reading for some time, the letters started dancing before her eyes; the sensation was followed shortly afterwards by muscular spasms of the jaw, mouth and tongue.’\(^\text{16}\) Two patients have been described as having reading-provoked paroxysmal a- or dyslexia combined with left temporal EEG rhythmic activities. Previous
Figure 4 MRI fusion of the coregistered subtraction between ictal and interictal SPECT. For the ictal SPECT, when the tracer was injected (30 s after seizure onset), the patient was no longer reading. For the interictal SPECT, injection was performed at rest (and not during reading). Axial, sagittal and coronal sections centred on the non-contiguous hyperperfused areas: (A) left occipito-parietal junction area, (B) left lateral temporal area (middle and inferior temporal gyri), (C) left inferior frontal area.

reports of reading epilepsies corresponding to posterior cortex epilepsies or epilepsies of the dominant temporo-occipital region thus exist. However, few precise anatomo-functional investigations were available in most of these reading epilepsy cases (particularly for those dating from 1956, 1957, 1966 and 1975).

In the present case, initial ictal signs, paroxysmal a- or dyslexia associated with a palinopsia, were similar to those described previously. HR-EEG and PET revealed interictal abnormalities in the left temporo-occipital junction. Ictal video-EEG recording and ictal SPECT argued in favour of an initial left temporo-parieto-occipital junction implication with occipito-temporal predominance, propagating to more anterior areas of the left hemisphere. Ictal SPECT demonstrated a set of hyperperfused structures including the left occipito-parietal junction area, left lateral middle and inferior temporal gyri and a left middle frontal area.

The hyperperfused structures highlighted by the ictal SPECT are close to the anatomy of reading in normal subjects. Indeed, neuroimaging studies of reading raise the hypothesis of a gradual bilateral-to-left and posterior-to-anterior recruitment of reading related areas. Visual informations are initially processed by occipito-temporal areas and subsequently transferred to a localised region of the left occipito-temporal sulcus, just lateral to the fusiform gyrus, devoted to the function of identifying visual letter strings, the ‘visual word form area’. The posterior lateral temporo-parietal region appears to be associated with phonological and/or semantic word processing. Neuro-imaging studies (PET and fMRI) reveal three main brain areas devoted to semantic processing. These areas that enable the access to the meaning of the words being read are the basal temporal language area, localised in the posterior part of the inferior temporal gyrus, in the prolongation of the occipito-temporal junction, the posterior middle temporal gyrus and the triangular part of the inferior frontal gyrus. In our observation, a hyperperfused area in the left frontal region was also highlighted by the ictal SPECT. This could correspond to a semantic area or to language production during the seizure (the patient verbally alerted nurses, commented upon subjective seizure symptoms and then presented an elementary vocalisation).

According to data obtained in our patient, we can infer that, in

Table 1 Comparison of the two variants of reading epilepsies, according to the literature and the case reported: jaw jerk variant and the posterior variant, characterised by visual symptoms and a- or dyslexia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Triggers other than reading</th>
<th>Writing, talking, thinking, chess playing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unprovoked seizures</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal symptomatology</td>
<td>Jaw jerks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) at onset</td>
<td>12−46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>Yes in 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of seizures</td>
<td>Brief: few seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercitial EEG</td>
<td>Usually normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal EEG</td>
<td>‘generalised’ spikes-and-waves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventriculography</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18F-FDG-PET</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11C-DPN-PET</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal SPECT</td>
<td>Hyperperfusion frontal and L temporal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spike triggered fMRI</td>
<td>L frontal cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR-EEG</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic response</td>
<td>C2P, CLB, VPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiopathology/classification</td>
<td>Partial epilepsy, generalised epilepsy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBZ, carbamazepine; CLB, clobazam; C2P, clonazepam; L, left; PB, phenobarbitone; PHT, phenytoin; VPA, sodium valproate.
this form of reading epilepsy, the pathophysiological process electively involves the brain network sustaining physiological reading. Moreover, ictal a- or dyslexia is very close to the effect of electrical stimulation of dominant occipito-temporal region. Indeed, it was recently demonstrated that electrical stimulation of the posterior fusiform and inferior temporal gyrus of the dominant hemisphere produced alexia, reading difficulties involving sentences and words, sparing letter by letter reading.

There are few non-invasive functional studies concerning reading epilepsy in the literature, and they mainly focus on reading epilepsies clinically characterised by jaw jerks. One study using ictal SPECT reports focal hyperperfusion in both frontal lobes and in the left temporal area (one right-handed patient). In another study, ictal SPECT showed hyperperfusion of the right temporal lobe (one right-handed patient with also a history of non-reading-related seizures affecting the left leg). Using \(^{11C}\) diprenorphine (DPN)-PET, dynamic ictal scans of opioid receptors have been carried out in reading epilepsy patients. One reading-epilepsy patient (jaw jerks) showed pericentral opioid binding decreases in both temporal lobes and the left frontal lobe. In another study comparing five reading-epilepsy patients (jaw jerks) with controls, mean \(^{11C}\)-DPN binding to opioid receptors was significantly lower in the left parieto-temporo-occipital cortex. \(^{11C}\)-DPN studies suggest that opioid-like substances are involved in the termination of reading seizures. Interestingly, in spite of distinct ictal semiology (jaw jerks vs a- or dyslexia and visual symptoms), DPN-PET findings are close to our case ictal SPECT subtraction. These results suggest a common basis for the pathophysiology of reading epilepsy, whether characterised clinically by alexia/dyslexia or jaw jerks, with implication of the posterior part of reading related areas. However, using spike-triggered fMRI, two studies investigating reading epilepsy patients (jaw jerks) highlighted more anterior areas in the left hemisphere. In the first study (two patients), the activation pattern was localised in the left middle frontal gyrus. In the second study, reading-induced seizures occurred in six of nine patients. Activation patterns were observed within left motor and premotor areas, left striatum, mesiotemporal areas, ventral frontal cortex and thalamus.

Reading epilepsy is a distinct form of reflex epilepsy, classified among idiopathic, age- and localisation-related (partial) epilepsies. Clinical expression is age-related, with an onset most often in the second and third decades. The condition is non-progressive. All or almost all seizures are precipitated by the act of reading. As previously analysed, it appears that reading epilepsy has a large clinical spectrum, with a most frequent variant clinically characterised by jaw jerks and a rare variant characterised by initial visual symptoms and a- or dyslexia (posterior variant). In both subtypes, seizures usually evolve into generalised seizure if reading persists. Analysing the literature, we observed that despite reading as the same triggering stimulus, these two variants of reading epilepsy differ in terms of ictal semiology, length of seizures, interictal and ictal EEG characteristics, results of other functional non-invasive studies, physiopathology and response to antiepileptic treatment. We have summarised these characteristics of the two reading epilepsy variants in table 1.

In the jaw jerk variant, ictal EEG is characterised either by brief, bilateral, synchronous spikes and waves or by focal ictal modifications. In the posterior variant, ictal EEG is characterised by a left occipito-temporal rhythmic discharge as in our case. For both variants, some functional neuroimaging studies are suggestive of left posterior cortex involvement, corresponding to the posterior part of the neural network that underlies the function of reading. Other neuroimaging studies suggest a more anterior/motor origin for reading epilepsy characterised by jaw jerks. Reading epilepsy patients (jaw jerk variant) are usually best controlled by clonazepam or sodium valproate, whereas those with the posterior variant respond to antiepileptic drugs that are effective for partial seizures, such as carbamazepine.

In conclusion, the case we report reveals features characteristic of primary reading epilepsy: family history of epilepsy; onset in the third decade; seizures exclusively provoked by reading meaningful material; favourable response to antiepileptic treatment; and clinical and paraclinical characteristics of the reading epilepsy posterior variant. According to these criteria, we thus consider that the case we report belongs to this idiopathic epilepsy syndrome.
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