EducatiOnal Objective: Readers will assess possible statin-induced myopathy in their patients on statins

ABSTRACT
Although statins are remarkably effective, they are still underprescribed because of concerns about muscle toxicity. We review the aspects of statin myopathy that are important to the primary care physician and provide a guide for evaluating patients on statins who present with muscle complaints. We outline the differential diagnosis, the risks and benefits of statin therapy in patients with possible toxicity, and the subsequent treatment options.

KEY POINTS
There is little consensus on the definition of statin-induced myopathy, and it is underdiagnosed. The incidence of statin-induced muscle toxicity in randomized controlled trials is lower than in clinical practice.

Abnormal pharmacokinetic activity contributes to toxicity, but some patients may be predisposed by underlying metabolic muscle disorders.

A focused history and neuromusculoskeletal examination are important in the evaluation of muscle complaints that may be induced by statins.

In patients with possible statin-induced myopathy, assessing the risks and benefits of statin therapy is essential.

For patients who cannot tolerate statin therapy, alternatives include a “statin holiday” followed by a rechallenge with a different statin, intermittent rosvastatin (Crestor), or resin therapy. Sometimes the best alternative is a compromise between the goal level for low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol and the level achievable with alternative therapy.

When a patient taking a statin complains of muscle aches, is he or she experiencing statin-induced myopathy or some other problem? Should statin therapy be discontinued?

Statin have proven efficacy in preventing heart attacks and death, and they are the most widely prescribed drugs worldwide. Nevertheless, they remain underused, with only 50% of those who would benefit from being on a statin receiving one. In addition, at least 25% of adults who start taking statins stop taking them by 6 months, and up to 60% stop by 2 years.

Patient and physician fears about myopathy remain a key reason for stopping. Myopathy, a known side effect of statins, is rare in randomized controlled trials, but less so in observational studies and clinical experience. This discrepancy between clinical trials and clinical experience reduces confidence in lipid-lowering therapy and contributes to its underuse.

This review emphasizes clinical aspects of statin myopathy that are important to the practicing physician. We will define myopathy, review its purported mechanisms, and describe a clinical approach to patients with possible toxicity, including risk factors, physical findings, and consideration of alternate diagnoses. Since there is no single test to diagnose statin-induced myopathy, we offer a framework to aid clinicians in stratifying patients based on the likelihood that their symptoms are due to statin toxicity weighed against the likelihood that they will benefit from statin therapy.

DEFINITIONS DIFFER
Little consensus exists on how to define the adverse muscle effects of statins, which may contribute to the underdiagnosis of this com-
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STATION MYOPATHY

The magnitude of creatine kinase (CK) elevation required to define rhabdomyolysis has increased from 500 IU/L in 1982 to 1,000 IU/L in 1988, to 50 times the upper limit of normal in one current definition. The American Heart Association, the American College of Cardiology, the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, the National Lipid Association, and the US Food and Drug Administration all differ in their definitions.

Our definitions
For the purpose of this article, we offer the following definitions:

Myalgia—muscle weakness, soreness, tenderness, stiffness, cramping, or aching, either at rest or with exertion, without any elevation in CK.

Myositis—elevated CK with or without muscle symptoms. The “-itis” suffix is unfortunate since myositis does not correspond to inflammation on biopsy.

Rhabdomyolysis—muscle symptoms with a CK level 10 times the upper limit of normal or higher. Evidence of renal dysfunction is not required for the diagnosis, as preexisting renal disease and hydration status are more closely related to kidney damage than the degree of muscle injury.

Another reason is that these trials were designed to assess the efficacy of statins and were not sensitive to adverse effects like muscle pain. When they looked at myopathy, they focused on rhabdomyolysis—the most severe form—rather than on myalgia, fatigue, or other minor muscle complaints. Additionally, most trials enrolled too few patients and did not have long enough follow-up to reveal infrequent toxicities.

Despite the strict criteria, a significant number of trial patients discontinued statin therapy during the study period. In the Treat to New Targets (TNT) trial, 5% of patients in both the high- and low-dose atorvastatin (Lipitor) groups experienced muscle toxicity, even though 35% of eligible patients had been excluded during the open-label run-in phase.

Also, physicians may overlook and patients may fail to report symptoms such as fatigue, malaise, or dyspnea that are not commonly accepted as signs of statin toxicity.

Findings from observational studies
Observational studies in nonselected outpatients show a higher frequency of muscle complaints in the statin groups than in the control groups. These studies suggest the frequency of statin myopathy is 9% to 20%.

The Prediction of Muscular Risk in Observational Conditions (PRIMO) study was one of the largest and best-defined observational studies of muscular symptoms in an unselected population. It included 7,924 French outpatients with hypercholesterolemia, ages 18 to 75 years, on high-dose statins for 3 or more months before the study. Daily statin regimens included atorvastatin 40 to 80 mg, fluvastatin (Lescol) 80 mg, pravastatin (Pravachol) 40 mg, and simvastatin (Zocor) 40 to 80 mg. In this study, 10.5% of patients reported muscle-related symptoms.

Buettner et al, in another cross-sectional study, interviewed and examined 3,580 adults over age 40. Of those taking statins, 22% reported having had musculoskeletal pain in at least one anatomic region in the last 30 days, compared with 16.7% of those not taking a statin.

In the United States, where an estimated 33 million adults use statins, musculoskeletal pain can be expected to occur in 7 million…
people, likely induced by statin therapy in 25% of cases.22

■ WHAT CAUSES STATIN MYOPATHY?

The causes of statin-induced myopathy are poorly understood.

Historically, statin-induced toxicity was thought to be caused by inhibition of the synthesis of mevalonate, leading to depletion of its metabolites, such as cholesterol, isoprenoids, and ubiquinone (coenzyme Q10). Depletion of intracellular cholesterol may lead to abnormal membrane behaviors; depletion of isoprenoids may affect intracellular signaling; depletion of coenzyme Q10 may in turn reduce mitochondrial respiratory function. Genetic factors may also play a role, contributing to pharmacokinetics and predisposing metabolic muscle disorders.23,24

Statin-induced myopathy seems to be different in randomized efficacy trials than in the clinical setting. In randomized trials, the mechanism appears to involve abnormal pharmacokinetics. The participants are carefully selected to have a low risk of muscle toxicity, but some develop toxicity when statin levels are elevated because of reduced drug breakdown and metabolism. However, in clinical practice, where a much larger group of unselected patients are exposed to statins, toxicity appears to be more related to a metabolic predisposition.25–27

Multiple minor metabolic abnormalities have been described in the muscles of patients with statin-induced muscle toxicity, suggesting that some patients have a predisposition for muscle complaints.23 About 25% of patients with recurrent rhabdomyolysis irrespective of lipid-lowering therapy have an underlying metabolic muscle disorder.28 In these vulnerable patients, minor metabolic defects are exacerbated by any agent that reduces the delivery of fat substrate to muscle, leading to muscle starvation.

This concept explains why patients may develop the same muscle complaint on different lipid-lowering agents.29,30 It also may explain why rhabdomyolysis can occur after apheresis of lipids, when no drugs have been given.31 In vulnerable patients, muscle toxicity may result from any agent that lessens the lipid substrate available to muscle rather than from the reduction of products downstream from mevalonate by statins.

■ SOME STATINS MAY BE LESS TOXIC

In general, the effectiveness of a statin is dose-dependent, with the “rule of seven” implying that for each doubling of statin dosage, one can expect a 7% reduction in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL–C).32 However, efficacy and toxicity are different for each statin. Statin toxicity, as assessed by CK elevations and rhabdomyolysis in randomized trials, also appears to be dose-dependent but not related to the degree to which plasma LDL–C is reduced (FIGURE 1).33

In the PRIMO study, muscle-related symptoms occurred with the various regimens as follows:
- Fluvastatin XL 40 mg—5.1%
- Pravastatin 40 mg—10.9%
- Atorvastatin 40 to 80 mg—14.9%
- Simvastatin 40 to 80 mg—18.2%.

Others have also shown that fluvastatin contributed to the smallest number of reported cases of rhabdomyolysis among statins: 55 (1.6%) of 3,339 cases.34

In vulnerable patients, muscle toxicity may result from any agent that lessens the lipid substrate available to muscle.
may be well tolerated in those who do not tolerate other statins, though no head-to-head trial has been done.

**RISK FACTORS FOR STATIN-INDUCED MYOPATHY**

Risk factors for statin myopathy include a history of muscle symptoms or elevated CK, hypothyroidism, female sex, older age, renal and hepatic insufficiency, diabetes, excessive alcohol consumption, and concomitant use of medications that increase the serum concentration of statins (**TABLE 1**).

**TABLE 1**

**Risk factors for statin toxicity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endogenous risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advanced age (&gt; 65 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low body mass index and frailty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multisystem disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid disorders, especially hypothyroidism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertriglyceridemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic muscle diseases:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnitine palmityl transferase II deficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McArdle disease (myophosphorylase deficiency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myoadenylate deaminase deficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of muscular symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal history of elevated creatine kinase or muscular symptoms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exogenous risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery with severe metabolic demands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs affecting the cytochrome P450 system:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclosporine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotinic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondihydropyridine calcium channel blockers—eg, verapamil (Calan), diltiazem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cardizem)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone (Cordarone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azole antifungals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colchicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicoumarol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin (Coumadin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consuming &gt; 1 L of grapefruit juice per day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADAPTED FROM INFORMATION IN REFERENCES 5,9,20,38–40.

**APPRAOCH TO SUSPECTED STATIN-INDUCED MYOPATHY**

The recommendations that follow are based on observations from our statin myopathy clinic in more than 650 patients, of whom more than 60 have suffered rhabdomyolysis. This experience is largely anecdotal, since such patients have not been well studied in controlled trials.

**Are the symptoms due to the statin?**

In our experience, most patients who develop significant weakness and pain on statin therapy have normal CK levels. Since there is as yet no test to confirm or reject the diagnosis of statin toxicity, the first objective is to determine the likelihood that the muscle complaint is being caused by statin therapy. Factors that make statin toxicity more likely must be weighed against any features that are atypical or that favor an alternate diagnosis.

The final decision about future statin treatment depends on the balance between the expected benefit of statin therapy for each individual and the likelihood that the symptoms are due to statin therapy. Below, we provide an algorithmic approach based on history, physical examination, and laboratory findings.

**Findings from the history that implicate statins**

Many muscle symptoms resolve within 2 weeks of starting statin therapy. Therefore, if patients have a normal CK level and can tolerate the symptoms, we ask them to continue therapy and see if their symptoms resolve with continued use.

Symptoms that persist beyond the first 2 weeks of therapy are likely due to the statin. These include symmetric burning or pain in the large muscles during exercise that was not present before lipid-lowering therapy. Any symptom that reproducibly recurs with statin rechallenge and disappears within 2 weeks of discontinuing therapy is more likely to be caused by the statin.

**Findings of the PRIMO study** are representative of typical statin-induced symptoms that we see in our clinic.

- Most patients did not identify a trigger, but
the 40% who did had engaged in unusual physical exertion or had received a new drug in addition to the statin.

- Heaviness, stiffness, or cramps predominated in 70%, with only a quarter noting weakness and another quarter suffering myalgias during exercise. Pain was diffuse in 60% and more common in the lower extremities than the upper extremities.

- Physically active patients were more likely to suffer muscle symptoms than sedentary patients, echoing the observation by Sinzinger and O’Grady that athletes are especially intolerant of lipid-lowering therapy.

- Patients who have had muscle complaints with other drug therapies such as bisphosphonates, raloxifene (Evista), or diuretics may be having the same provocation of an underlying muscle predisposition by the statin.

- A personal or family history of muscle complaints predisposed patients to statin-induced myopathy.

Finally, we have repeatedly found that when dyspnea and fatigue are associated with the muscle complaint, they are more likely to be caused by statins.

Statins can unveil underlying musculoskeletal disorders

Ample observational data suggest that statin therapy unveils underlying muscle disorders, perhaps by adding yet another metabolic stress to patients with abnormal metabolism. We see many patients whose primary diagnosis is not statin toxicity but an underlying metabolic muscle disorder, the symptoms of which have been made worse by statin therapy. The most common diagnoses that may simulate statin muscle toxicity are listed in Table 2.

Atypical complaints require us to search for an alternate diagnosis before dismissing them as not statin-induced.

Previous episodes of myoglobinuria (dark urine after exertion) would raise the possibility of a metabolic myopathy. Frequent muscle cramps raise the possibility of a metabolic myopathy or motor neuron disease.

Asymmetric pain or pain involving joints and ligaments is less likely to be statin-related but in our experience occasionally occurs.

Some patients with underlying degenerative arthritis or tendinitis repeatedly develop worsening symptoms each time they take statins, perhaps because muscle weakness exacerbates the arthropathy or tendinopathy.

Although statin-related muscle complaints are almost always symmetric, many patients with underlying peripheral vascular disease have asymmetric pain in the limb with poor vascular supply; the pain is reproducible by statin rechallenge.

In our experience, many patients whose chronic low back pain is due to a lumbar radiculopathy experience exacerbations of that pain whenever they start statins.

Weakness preceding the use of the statins or a family history of neuromuscular disorders may indicate a neurodegenerative disorder and warrants consideration of early neurological consultation.

Although these rules are not absolute, they are helpful in the initial evaluation, which must exclude alternative diagnoses.

Is the patient a vegetarian? A drinker?

Taking supplements?

Taking a careful history of diet and supplement use is important to find exposures that may increase the risk of statin-related muscle complaints. Vegetarians may develop carnitine or vitamin B12 deficiencies. Alcohol and vitamin E and other supplements are occasional causes of muscle symptoms falsely attributed to statin therapy. It is also important to remember that red yeast rice contains lovastatin, which can exacerbate myopathy, especially when taken in conjunction with another statin.

Physical examination

The examination of patients with possible statin-induced myopathy begins with a general assessment for signs of hypothyroidism or excess alcohol consumption.

Ankle-brachial indices are used to exclude significant peripheral vascular disease.

The musculoskeletal examination focuses on muscle atrophy, tone, and strength but also excludes tendinopathies, arthropathies, and myofascial pain syndromes, which are often confused with muscle pain.

We conduct quantitative dynamometry, measuring handgrip with a Jamar dynamometer and hip abduction with a Nicholas Manual
### TABLE 2
**Diagnoses that often masquerade as statin muscle toxicity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Clinical and Laboratory Clues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol-related myopathy</td>
<td>History of chronic alcohol consumption with associated evidence of liver disease; weakness usually exceeds pain; improves within 6 weeks of discontinuing alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritides</td>
<td>Joints are tender and may have effusion; periarticular muscles may be weak but are not tender; positive radiography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connective tissue diseases</td>
<td>Evidence of systemic inflammatory disorder; positive serology, elevated sedimentation rate or C-reactive protein; enthesopathy or arthropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connective tissue diseases</td>
<td>Muscle pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte abnormalities</td>
<td>Cramping, fatigue, and weakness exceed muscle pain; electrolyte abnormalities are measurable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine abnormalities</td>
<td>Physical findings and abnormal endocrine testing depend on the specific endocrine disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>Tender points; generalized pain; symptoms last more than 3 months and are usually not temporally related to statin exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guillain-Barré syndrome</td>
<td>Progressive peripheral weakness greater than central weakness without creatine kinase (CK) elevation; unrelated to timing of statin administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic myopathies</td>
<td>Chronic symptoms or recurrent rhabdomyolysis may be unrelated to statin exposure; elevated triglycerides in CPT II deficiency; abnormal cardiopulmonary exercise testing and abnormal muscle biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle pain and myopathy from non-statin drugs</td>
<td>Onset of muscle pain related to the administration of these alternate drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelopathy, spinal stenosis</td>
<td>Prominent back pain, hyperreflexia (cervical myelopathy), reduced proprioception and vibration; extensor-plantar response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myositis</td>
<td>Positive inflammatory serologies; CK elevation persists off statin therapy; muscle biopsy demonstrates inflammation or inclusions; myositis may be primary or triggered by statin therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral neuropathy</td>
<td>Paresthesias and sensory abnormalities with muscle weakness but not pain; abnormal electromyogram, nerve conduction velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
<td>Abnormal ankle-brachial index and peripheral pulses; pain and weakness are often more asymmetric than in statin myopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive neuromuscular atrophy</td>
<td>Progressive weakness with associated neurologic clues; amyotrophic lateral sclerosis may have elevated CK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D deficiency</td>
<td>Possible diffuse bone pain and weakness may accompany muscle pain; excessive tenderness to sternal palpation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Carnitine palmitoyltransferase II (CPT II) deficiency, McArdle disease, mitochondrial myopathies**

**Muscle pain and myopathy from non-statin drugs**

**Bisphosphonates, zidovudine (Retrovir), vitamin E**

**Myelopathy, spinal stenosis**

**Secondary to diabetes, idiopathic, spinal stenosis, vitamin B₁₂ deficiency**

**Peripheral vascular disease**

**Abnormal ankle-brachial index and peripheral pulses; pain and weakness are often more asymmetric than in statin myopathy**

**Progressive neuromuscular atrophy**

**Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, multiple sclerosis, spinal muscular atrophy**

**Progressive weakness with associated neurologic clues; amyotrophic lateral sclerosis may have elevated CK**

**Vitamin D deficiency**

**Possible diffuse bone pain and weakness may accompany muscle pain; excessive tenderness to sternal palpation**
Muscle Tester. Precise dynamometric measurements are tracked at subsequent visits and are helpful in following recovery from myopathy as well as in tracking strength during subsequent statin rechallenges.

We routinely look for hyperreflexia, fasciculations, extensor-plantar responses, and decreased heel-to-shin movement, which would suggest myelopathy. Reflexes and a sensory examination including vibration and temperature sensation help exclude radiculopathy and peripheral neuropathy.

**Laboratory evaluation**

In every patient with possibly statin myopathy, the primary care physician should measure:

- The serum CK level (preferably more than 72 hours after exercise)
- The 25-hydroxy vitamin level
- The thyroid-stimulating hormone level.

Further laboratory evaluation depends on the findings and will often be directed by subspecialists. For example, we assess the sedimentation rate, anti-Ro and anti-La antibodies, and the myositis panel in patients with elevated CK whose other findings suggest an autoimmune or inflammatory process. We test serum carnitine levels (free, total, and esterified), fasting serum lactate levels, and serum cortisol in those with findings suggestive of metabolic myopathy. We order electromyography and nerve conduction studies in patients with possible myelopathy, peripheral neuropathy, or inflammatory myopathy.

Ultimately, a muscle biopsy may be necessary to exclude inflammatory or necrotizing myopathies in patients whose CK remains elevated despite withdrawal of statins. It may also be helpful when other findings suggest a metabolic myopathy. When a biopsy is needed, magnetic resonance imaging of the affected limb may identify an affected muscle for biopsy.

### MANAGEMENT

**Reassess the lipid goal**

**FIGURE 2** outlines the clinical settings associated with statin-induced myopathy, and provides an algorithmic approach for managing it.

If the source of a complaint remains unclear after challenge and rechallenge with alternate statins, we generally recommend restarting therapy and trying to achieve the LDL-C goal. If the workup suggests a neurologic or rheumatologic etiology, a referral to a specialist is indicated. However, if the evaluation leads to a diagnosis of statin-induced myopathy, the next task is to reassess the lipid treatment goals.

The Adult Treatment Panel (ATP) III guidelines should be used to assess the patient’s risk of having major coronary heart disease in the next 10 years, and to determine appropriate LDL-C goals. Some patients with suspected toxicity may have been treated to more aggressive LDL-C levels than recommended by these guidelines. The first step in these patients is to reduce or discontinue the unnecessary statin, even if there is no clear evidence of toxicity.

For the rest of patients with suspected toxicity, the decision to discontinue statin therapy must be weighed against the estimated reduction in risk associated with taking a statin medication.

**TABLE 3** lists the large statin efficacy trials by baseline risk. We provide the number needed to treat to prevent a major adverse cardiac event in order to facilitate clinician-patient discussions about the magnitude of benefit derived from therapy.

**Prescribe a 6-week ‘statin holiday’ and see if symptoms resolve**

In patients whose evaluation suggests statin myopathy, we stop all lipid-lowering therapy for 6 weeks and see if symptoms resolve and if grip and hip strength increase by dynamometry.

We often give these patient supplements of 600 mg daily of a bioavailable source of coenzyme Q10 and fish oil during this statin holiday. The data supporting the use of these supplements are mixed but the risks are minimal. In patients whose evaluation suggests a primary disorder in fatty acid oxidation, we add a trial of L-carnitine supplementation if symptoms do not resolve after a 6-week course of the coenzyme Q10 and fish oil.

If symptoms persist or if resolution is unclear at 6 weeks, we extend the holiday for an additional 6 weeks, except in patients with recent unstable coronary disease: for these patients, unless there is evidence of rhabdomyol-
An algorithm for managing statin myopathy

**FACTORS FAVORING STATIN MYOPATHY**

**History**
- Pain or weakness in large, symmetric, proximal muscle groups
- Symptoms worsen with exercise
- Symptoms resolve within 2 weeks of statin cessation
- Symptoms return within 2 weeks of statin rechallenge

**Physical examination**
- Diffuse, proximal muscle weakness
- Mild hyporeflexia
- Normal pain, vibration, and position sense

**Laboratory values**
- Normal creatine kinase
- Normal thyroid-stimulating hormone
- Normal sedimentation rate or C-reactive protein
- Normal vitamin D

**FACTORS FAVORING ALTERNATE DIAGNOSIS**

**History**
- Pain or weakness is asymmetric or affects distal muscle groups
- Symptoms are continuous
- Symptoms continue 2 weeks after statin cessation
- Symptoms do not return with statin rechallenge

**Physical examination**
- Focal or asymmetric muscle weakness
- Hyperreflexia
- Abnormal pain, vibration, or position sense

**Laboratory values**
- Elevated creatine kinase
- Elevated thyroid-stimulating hormone
- Increased sedimentation rate or C-reactive protein
- Possibly low vitamin D

**REASSESS LIPID-LOWERING GOALS**
If the evaluation suggests statin myopathy, reassess the goals of lipid-lowering therapy and the likelihood the patient will benefit from that therapy (see **TABLE 4**)

**STOP STATIN**
Discontinue statin therapy and continue diet and exercise in low-risk patients where number needed to treat to prevent cardiac events is high

**STATIN HOLIDAY**
For high-risk patients in whom the number needed to treat to prevent cardiac events is low, start a 6-week statin holiday with or without coenzyme Q10 and watch for improvement

**TRIAL OF ALTERNATE STATIN**
When symptoms of myopathy resolve, start trial of alternate statin as described in text

**REFERRAL**
If symptoms of myopathy persist, consider referral for further evaluation

*FIGURE 2*

ysis, we believe that the benefits of continued statin therapy exceed the risks.

If the initial evaluation is consistent with statin myopathy and the neuromuscular symptoms (myalgias and weakness) do not respond within a few months of statin withdrawal, neurologic consultation is indicated to evaluate for an underlying neurologic disorder that has become symptomatic during statin therapy but whose existence is independent of the statin therapy. In some cases, the preexisting neurologic disorder may become symptomatic because of the statin therapy and remain symptomatic despite discontinuation of the statin therapy.

**Restarting lipid-lowering therapy**
Once the myopathy symptoms have abated or are controlled, a rechallenge of statin therapy is in order for those whose risk profile suggests...
greater benefit from statin therapy.

We consider the complete statin exposure history and any concomitant therapy that may have been competing with cytochrome P450 (CYP) metabolism of statins in designing an alternate lipid-lowering plan.

For patients with known coronary or vascular disease, in whom the survival benefit of statins is greatest, we generally try to find a statin regimen that is tolerable. Long-acting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PATIENT RISK CATEGORY</th>
<th>TRIAL</th>
<th>TYPE OF PREVENTION</th>
<th>FOLLOW-UP (YEARS)</th>
<th>NO. NEEDED TO TREAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>4S47</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>5.4 (median)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heart Protection Study48</td>
<td>Primary and secondary</td>
<td>5 (mean)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PROVE-IT49</td>
<td>Primary and secondary</td>
<td>2 (mean)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>LIPI50</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>6.1 (mean)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CARE51</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>5 (median)</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PROSPER52</td>
<td>Primary and secondary</td>
<td>3.2 (mean)</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>WOSCOP53</td>
<td>Primary¹</td>
<td>4.9 (mean)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AFCAPS/TexCAPS54</td>
<td>Primary²</td>
<td>5.2 (mean)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JUPITER55</td>
<td>Primary³</td>
<td>1.9 (median)</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASCOT-LLA56</td>
<td>Primary³</td>
<td>3.3 (median)</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MEGA57</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>5.3 (mean)</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALLHAT-LLT58</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>4.8 (mean)</td>
<td>NS*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table is intended to facilitate discussions with patients about the risks and benefits of statin therapy. To calculate a patient’s risk of having a major coronary event in the next 10 years, one can use the Adult Treatment Panel III risk calculator (http://hp2010.nhlbihin.net/atpiii/calculator.asp). Then, one can point out the range of numbers needed to treat in each risk category, derived from major trials of statin therapy. This may provide patients with a range of benefit to be derived from statin therapy.

| a Patient risk category is based on coronary heart disease event rate in the placebo group: high > 20%; moderate 10%—20%; low < 10%
| b Number of patients that needed to be treated to prevent one major adverse cardiovascular event, calculated from the actual number of events (100/absolute risk reduction); the definition of event varied by study, and the number needed to treat was based on the length of follow-up in each study, which varied
| c One-third of study population was age 70 or older
| d Intensive lipid-lowering (low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol level < 70 mg/dL) led to greater risk reductions than standard therapy
| e Study of elderly, age 70 and older
| f Men only studied
| g Early termination may exaggerate findings
| h Both the primary outcome (death) and secondary outcome (major adverse cardiovascular events) were nonsignificant

4S=Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study; PROVE-IT=Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy; PROSPER=Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk; LIPID=Long-term Intervention With Pravastatin in Ischemic Disease; CARE=Cholesterol and Recurrent Events; WOSCOP=West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study; AFCAPS/TexCAPS=Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study; JUPITER=Justification for the Use of Statins in Primary Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin; ASCOT-LLA=Lipid-Lowering Arm of the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Study Trial; MEGA=Management of Elevated Cholesterol in the Primary Prevention Group of Adult Japanese; ALLHAT-LLT=Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial
STATIN MYOPATHY

fluvastatin or a statin with less CYP dependence, such as pravastatin, is often successful. For patients whose myopathy has recurred with multiple statin rechallenges or whose lipid-lowering goal requires a more potent therapy, rosuvastatin in alternate-day or once-or twice-a-week schedules is efficacious and well tolerated in many patients. Of note, however, although such alternate-day therapies may produce excellent reductions in cholesterol levels, these regimens have not been proven to reduce cardiovascular end points.

Alternative lipid-lowering therapy. Occasionally, a patient cannot tolerate even intermittent rosuvastatin. In these cases, we prescribe resin therapy, which is well tolerated in those with recurrent statin myopathy.

Although some believe that ezetimibe (Zetia) is an option for these patients, we do not agree, since it often causes similar muscle complaints in the most sensitive statin myopathy patients. Furthermore, ezetimibe has not been shown to improve cardiac end points.

Red yeast rice is also not a safe alternative in these patients, in whom muscle complaints and CK elevations frequently develop anew on this unregulated supplement despite its low lovastatin equivalence, 6 mg a day. A recent study showed that there is wide variability in the amount of lovastatin in over-the-counter red yeast rice; the median dose was 6 mg, and the maximum dose was 14.5 mg.

The ultimate lipid-lowering plan for most of these patients will require a compromise between the ideal LDL-C goal and the LDL-C level that is achievable with these alternate attempts at lipid lowering.

While combination therapy may be attractive in patients with combined lipid disorders and no muscle complaints, fibrates are more likely to cause muscle toxicity per dose prescribed than statins, and the addition of fibrates to statin therapy increases the risks of muscle reactions. The evidence that fibrates reduce cardiovascular end points is much less robust than that for statins, which further reduces enthusiasm for combination therapy in patients with statin muscle toxicity.
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