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Clinical and cognitive characteristics of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, with and without copy number variants

Kate Langley, Joanna Martin, Sharifah Shameem Agha, Charlotte Davies, Evangelia Stergiakouli, Peter Holmans, Nigel Williams, Michael Owen, Michael O’Donovan and Anita Thapar

Background
Submicroscopic, rare chromosomal copy number variants (CNVs) contribute to neurodevelopmental disorders but it is not known whether they define atypical clinical cases.

Aims
To identify whether large, rare CNVs in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) are confined to a distinct clinical subgroup.

Method
A total of 567 children with ADHD aged 5–17 years were recruited from community clinics. Psychopathology was assessed using the Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Assessment. Large, rare CNVs (>500 kb, <1% frequency) were defined from single nucleotide polymorphism data.

Sample
Our sample consisted of 567 children aged 5–17 years (mean = 10.6, s.d. = 2.82) with a DSM-IV10 or DSM-III-R11 diagnosis of ADHD or ICD-1012 diagnosis of hyperkinetic disorder. Participants were referred to the study by clinicians from child and adolescent psychiatry or paediatric out-patient clinics across the UK. All were of White British origin. Children with schizophrenia, known autism-spectrum disorder, bipolar disorder, Tourette syndrome, epilepsy, brain damage or any other known neurological or genetic disorder were excluded from the study prior to analysis (n = 18). All children were also screened for autism-spectrum disorder using the Autism Screening Questionnaire (ASQ).13 All those who scored above the cut-off point on the ASQ were reassessed using the same items and reviewed by a clinical child and adolescent psychiatrist. Those who still showed high scores were judged by a clinician to have possible autism-spectrum disorder were excluded.

Results
Copy number variant carriers (13.6%) showed no differences from non-carriers in ADHD symptom severity, symptom type, comorbidity, developmental features, family history or pre-/perinatal markers. The only significant difference was a higher rate of intellectual disability (24% v. 9%, \( \chi^2 = 15.5, P = 0.001 \)). Most CNV carriers did not have intellectual disability.

Conclusions
Large, rare CNVs are not restricted to an atypical form of ADHD but may be more highly enriched in children with cognitive problems.

Declaration of interest
None.

Measures
Clinical
Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms and diagnoses in the child were confirmed using the Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Assessment (CAPA),14 a research diagnostic interview undertaken with the parent. The presence of impairment and onset of symptoms/impairment before the age of 7 years that are needed to confirm diagnoses were also assessed using the CAPA. All interviewers were trained to a high level of reliability (kappa = 1.00 for agreement on ADHD diagnosis). Information on the pervasiveness of ADHD symptoms and impairment in a school setting was obtained using the Child ADHD Teacher Telephone Interview (CHATTI)15 or the Conners Teacher Rating Scale.16

The CAPA14 was also used to assess current symptoms and diagnoses of comorbid oppositional defiant disorder, conduct disorder, anxiety disorders (generalised anxiety, social anxiety, separation anxiety), depression, mania and tic disorders. Symptoms of these comorbid conditions were also assessed using the child version of the CAPA17 for children aged 12 years and
over. Comorbid symptoms were endorsed if reported by either parent or child. DSM-IV diagnoses and total current symptom counts for all of the assessed disorders were generated from the CAPA. Total scores from the ASQ were also computed.

Cognitive measures

Cognitive ability was assessed using the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, which obtains an estimate of full scale IQ. For those aged under 12 years, reading ability was measured using the Wechsler Objective Reading Dimensions (WORD) assessment package.

Pre- and perinatal factors and early development

Data on pre- and perinatal factors were obtained using a well-validated parent-rated retrospective questionnaire, supplemented with additional questions that have been included in previous investigations. We focused on factors previously found to be associated with ADHD or other neurodevelopmental problems. Parents reported whether the child had spent any time in a special care baby unit, the child’s birth weight and the mother’s and father’s age at the birth of the child. The presence of smoking during pregnancy (yes/no) was assessed using the question ‘Did you smoke at all during your pregnancy?’ Parents were also asked to report on the child’s early development using measures found to discriminate those with ADHD from those without. Specifically, parents were asked about a delay in speech (not talking by age 2 years), as well as a delay in walking (not walking by age 18 months). Parents were also asked whether their child had suffered from any serious medical conditions. Medical conditions reported by the parent were coded as congenital in origin by two independent physicians (e.g. cardiac murmur coded as congenital, but not asthma).

Family factors

Parents reported on the family’s total annual income and their educational attainment. Low income was defined as annual income < £20,000 and low level of education if one or both parents had left school without qualifications (GCSEs or O-levels at 16 years).

Family history was assessed by asking parents to report on the presence of any mental health problem (ADHD, depression, anxiety, schizophrenia, mania/bipolar disorder and autism) for all first-degree relatives of the index child. This information was used to define the presence of psychiatric disorder in any first-degree relative. Mothers and fathers (where available) also provided data on their own current (in the past 6 months) and childhood ADHD symptoms. Symptoms that were present at both time points (childhood onset symptoms that continued until adult life) were summed to give a total number of maternal and paternal ADHD symptoms and these scores were adjusted for parental age using linear regression analysis.

CNV identification

The ADHD sample used in this study is an extension of the n = 366 previously analysed for large, rare CNVs and therefore all quality control and CNV detection protocols were identical to those previously described. Briefly, single nucleotide polymorphisms were genotyped using the Human660W-Quad BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA) and BeadStudio was used to call genotypes, normalise the signal intensity data and determine the log R ratio and B allele frequency at each single nucleotide polymorphism according to the standard Illumina protocols. Copy number variants were defined by PennCNV 2.5 with loci spanning at least 15 consecutive informative single nucleotide polymorphisms, those having copy number calls < 2 and > 2 being classed as deletions and duplications respectively. All samples with a single nucleotide polymorphism call rate < 0.95, a high standard deviation in their genome-wide log R ratio (δ > 0.30), carrying more than 30 apparent CNVs over 100 kb or any duplicate or related samples (identity by descent > 0.3) were excluded prior to analysis (n = 40). Finally, the application of a number of CNV validation and quality control procedures (full details previously published) allowed the definition of the set of large (classified as those > 500 kb), rare (< 1% frequency) CNVs that were used in this analysis.

Statistical analyses

The ADHD sample was divided into those with (n = 77) and without (n = 490) at least one large, rare CNV, greater than 500 kb in size. The two groups were compared on each of the clinical, cognitive, pre- and perinatal, developmental and family factors. All analyses are presented for raw scores/data for ease of interpretation. To avoid unnecessary multiple testing, the primary analyses were based on quantitative measures where possible and categorical definitions of the same constructs were subsequently tested only if initial association had been found. Where a variable was not normally distributed, the scores were natural logarithmically transformed (ln x) and analyses were run on transformed scores. Continuous measures were compared across groups using t-tests and categorical variables were examined using Pearson chi-squared tests.

As ADHD (like autism and schizophrenia) is associated with lower IQ and its prevalence is higher in individuals with intellectual disability (full-scale IQ < 70), we did not exclude children with intellectual disability from this study. Results are, however, separately presented for individuals without intellectual disability (n = 473, of whom 54 have large, rare CNVs) as well as for the full sample. IQ test scores were not available for 42 children. All analyses were undertaken using SPSS, version 16 for Windows. To take into account multiple testing, using Bonferroni correction for the number of variables tested, alpha was set at P = 0.002 (0.05/27).

Results

Sample description

Of the total sample of 567 children, 492 (86.8%) were boys. At the time of assessment, 71.3% (n = 404) currently met criteria for DSM-IV ADHD combined type, 8.3% (n = 48) for inattentive type, 11.8% (n = 67) for hyperactive-impulsive type and 92.9% (n = 527) for current DSM-III-R ADHD. The rate of comorbid disorder were 43% (n = 244) for current DSM-IV oppositional defiant disorder, 15% (n = 85) for conduct disorder, 5.1% (n = 29) for any anxiety disorder and 0.7% (n = 4) for any depressive disorder. Of the total sample, 52 were defined as having intellectual disability (IQ < 70), and 473 had IQ test scores of 70 or above (42 had scores missing for the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children). Overall, 490 (86.4%) children had no large, rare CNV, 69 (12.2%) had one CNV and 8 (1.4%) had two CNVs (see online Table DS1 for a full list of CNVs). The proportion of CNV carriers was similar in this extended sample (13.6%) to our previously reported rate (14% cases v. 7% controls).

Clinical and cognitive characteristics of children with and without large, rare CNVs

Table 1 shows the clinical and cognitive characteristics of children with and without CNVs. As age and gender were not associated
with CNV presence, these variables were not included as covariates in subsequent analyses. As previously observed, \(^6\) CNVs were significantly more common in children with intellectual disability (IQ < 70) but were not restricted to this group. The majority of CNV carriers in our sample \((n = 54, 70.1\%)\) were still in the group of children without intellectual disability. There were associations with lower IQ and reading ability test scores but these did not reach statistical significance following Bonferroni correction. The IQ distributions in the total sample of children with ADHD and the subgroup of CNV carriers are shown in Figs 1 and 2. There were no other clinical phenotypic differences in children with and without CNVs.

When children with intellectual disability were excluded, CNV carriers were still not distinguishable on any clinical characteristic, although CNV carriers showed lower reading ability but not lower IQ scores. The number of children with CNVs in loci previously implicated in autism and schizophrenia was small \((n = 20)\) and thus results need to be interpreted with caution. When this group (without intellectual disability) was clinically compared with non-CNV carriers, the pattern of results was similar. No significant differences (after correction for multiple testing) were detected, although CNV carriers showed lower reading ability and, interestingly, ASQ scores (none had autism) were higher (online Table DS2).

**Pre-/perinatal, developmental and family factors**

Table 2 shows that children with CNVs could not be distinguished on any pre-or perinatal factor, by an atypical early developmental history or by familial loading for psychiatric disorder.

**Discussion**

Children with ADHD who carry large, rare CNVs were found to be indistinguishable from children without CNVs in terms of the pattern and severity of ADHD symptoms and psychiatric comorbidity. Nor were there any pre-/perinatal, developmental or familial markers of large, rare CNVs. This suggests that large, rare CNVs do not appear to be associated with an atypical form of disorder.

The IQ scores for this sample were normally distributed and, in keeping with previous studies of ADHD, \(^{10,31}\) the IQ distribution was comparable to the normal population but with a lower mean. Carriers of large, rare CNVs had a significantly higher rate of intellectual disability (as previously reported in a subsample of the present study) and there were associations with lower IQ scores and reading ability, although the latter did not survive correction for multiple testing. However, there was no relationship between possession of CNVs and IQ in those without intellectual disability. The higher rate of CNVs in children with ADHD and intellectual disability might be explained by several factors. First, as shared genetic and familial risk factors contribute to the association between ADHD and lower IQ in the general population, \(^{31,32}\) CNVs might have a common risk effect on these two different but related neurodevelopmental problems. Given that we have previously observed an overlap of CNVs found in ADHD with those found in schizophrenia and autism, \(^9\) the hypothesis that CNVs influence multiple neurodevelopmental phenotype outcomes is plausible. \(^{33}\) A second possibility is that CNVs might be increasing ADHD risk through effects on cognition and learning. \(^{35}\) This would explain our observed association between CNVs, intellectual disability and reading problems. However, the lack of association between the presence of CNVs and IQ in children without intellectual disability is inconsistent with this view. Overall, regardless of explanation, the results suggest that large, rare CNVs in ADHD, although more common in individuals with intellectual disability, are not confined to this group and are relevant across the IQ spectrum. Nevertheless, given the high rate of large, rare CNVs in children with intellectual disability, as we have previously highlighted, \(^9\) this group of children (with both ADHD and intellectual disability) might benefit from a clinical genetics opinion.

As already highlighted, structural variants including large, rare CNVs are increasingly being considered to contribute to the risk of ADHD, autism and schizophrenia. \(^1-9\) It had been well recognised, prior to the recent CNV studies, that there are specific ‘syndromic’ types of ADHD, autism and schizophrenia that appear to be caused by structural chromosomal abnormalities. For example, chromosome 22q11 microdeletion syndrome (velocardiofacial syndrome) is associated with increased risks of ADHD and schizophrenia. \(^34\) There is some work, however, to
suggest that the pattern of clinical features of ADHD might be different in this group from those with ‘idiopathic ADHD’. One study found that children with ADHD and velocardiofacial syndrome, when compared with idiopathic ADHD, showed higher rates of ADHD inattentive type and comorbidity.4\(^{35}\) In terms of symptom presentation, we found that children with ADHD who possess large, rare CNVs when ascertained from non-specialist clinics were clinically indistinguishable from those who do not show such mutations. However, the mean level of inattention symptoms was higher in the CNV carriers and it is possible that differences of small effect size. Second, we did not have data on whether the CNVs in this full sample were de novo or inherited.

There are only a few studies investigating the phenotypic manifestations of CNVs in other disorders. One study was based on 100 individuals with autism-spectrum disorder.4\(^{16}\) The sample was ascertained for developmental complexity and CNVs were rated as present if considered pathogenic (de novo or absent in controls) but psychiatric phenotype data were not available. Those with pathogenic CNVs were most strongly distinguished by a higher rate of intellectual disability but not by pre-/perinatal factors. Other studies of autism-spectrum disorder have been mixed.4\(^{37}\) Interestingly, some studies have found associations between specific CNVs and larger and smaller head sizes in intellectual disability and schizophrenia.4\(^{38}\) We did not have measures of head circumference. Others have also suggested that higher paternal age is associated with autism4\(^{39}\) and schizophrenia,4\(^{40}\) but not ADHD,4\(^{41}\) and it is this that might result in increased rates of mutations in sperm and offspring genomic alterations.4\(^{42}\) In our study, there was no relationship between those carrying a CNV and older parental age.

Although our sample is the largest CNV study of ADHD published to date, there are a number of limitations. First, the sample size may have been too small to distinguish phenotypic differences of small effect size. Second, we did not have data on whether the CNVs in this full sample were de novo or inherited to test these separately. A challenge to examining parent of origin effects in ADHD in UK community clinic samples is that many fathers are not available. We also had no formal assessments of dysmorphic features or detailed assessments of subtle congenital anomalies. Another issue is that in most CNV studies, including our own, large, rare CNVs are located at different chromosomal loci and it is likely that all CNVs are not equally predisposing. In summary, rare structural variants have increasingly been implicated as contributing to ADHD and other neurodevelopmental disorders. However, it is not known whether the form of ADHD that occurs in carriers of large, rare CNVs is typical of the disorder as a whole. Our results suggest that, apart

### Table 1 Clinical and cognitive characteristics of children with and without copy number variants (CNVs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Full sample (including those with intellectual disability)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean (s.d.)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Mean (s.d.)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Chi^2</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean (s.d.)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Mean (s.d.)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Chi^2</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child's gender, % male</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td>473</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child's age, years</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td>473</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADHD symptoms (max. 18)</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inattention symptoms (max. 9)</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-1.99</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-2.29</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperactivity symptoms (max. 5)</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impulsive symptoms (max. 4)</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD symptoms (max. 14)</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety symptoms (max. 12)</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression symptoms (max. 9)</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASQ score</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>281</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual disability, present^a</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>15.46</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-scale IQ score</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WORD composite score</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADHD, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder; ASQ, Autism Screening Questionnaire; CD, conduct disorder; max., maximum; WORD, Wechsler Objective Reading Dimensions scale.

a. Analysis on transformed data.

[^1]: Children with IQ ≥ 70
from an increased risk of CNVs in children with comorbid intellectual disability, large, rare CNVs do not appear to be restricted to an atypical subgroup of children with ADHD. These findings have implications for selecting populations for CNV screening and suggest that other classes of rare mutations might also be found in typical clinical cases.

**Table 2** Pre-/perinatal, developmental and family characteristics of children with and without copy number variants (CNVs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Full sample (including those with intellectual disability)</th>
<th>Children with IQ &gt; 70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CNVs</td>
<td>No CNVs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age at birth, years</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>25.6 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal age at birth, years</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>29 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal smoking during pregnancy, presence</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>40 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal smoking during pregnancy, presence</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>23 (48.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight, g</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>3354 (623)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child spent time in SCBU, presence</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>6 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious medical condition, presence</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language delay, presence</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>9 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor delay, presence</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>35 (61.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low family income, presence</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>28 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low parental education, presence</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of psychiatric disorder, presence</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>19 (39.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal ADHD symptoms (max. 18)</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>3.3 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal ADHD symptoms (max. 18)</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>4.9 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADHD, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder; max., maximum; SCBU, special care baby unit.
a. Analysis on transformed data.
b. Analysis on transformed data corrected for parental age.
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